# San Joaquin County Children and Families Commission Annual Report: State Fiscal Year 2001-2002

In the event we have questions about the information contained in this county report, please give us the following information for your county contact:

Name: Lani Schiff-Ross Phone Number: (209)468-0250

**E-Mail:** lschiff-ross@co.san-joaquin.ca.us

### **Current Status and Strategic Directions**

### 1. 0-5 Child Population and 2. Ethnicity of Children 0-5:

Race/Ethnicity	Age	San Joaquin County	% of County	California
Latino	Total < 5	19,759	44%	1,187,878
White	Total < 5	14,783	33%	788,472
Asian	Total < 5	4,490	10%	214,466
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders	Total < 5	111	0.2%	7,761
African Americans	Total < 5	3,074	7%	160,166
American Indian and Alaskan Native	Total < 5	185	0.4%	11,585
Other	Total < 5	110	0.2%	6,932
Multiple Races	Total < 5	2,448	5%	109,771
All Children	0 – 5	44,960	100%	2,486,981

According to the 2000 U.S. Census, there are nearly 45,000 children ages 0 to 5 in San Joaquin County, representing 8% of the total County population of nearly 564,000. That percentage is somewhat higher than for California, where children 0 to 5 represent 7.3% of the total population. The cities with the highest percentage of children ages 0 to 5 are Tracy (9.4%), Lathrop (8.8%) and Stockton (8.6%)

California Department of Finance projections estimate an increase of 15,675 children ages 0 to 5 between 2000 and 2010, an increase of 35%, and an additional increase of 15,104 children ages 0 to 5 between 2010 and 2020, representing an additional increase of 25% during that time period. The cities with the largest growth in the 0 to 5 population between 1990 and 2000 are Tracy and Lathrop, with growth rates of 55% and 44% respectively.

In keeping with general population trends, the population of children ages 0 to 4 in San Joaquin County is becoming increasingly diverse. The percentage of Caucasian and Asian/Pacific Islander children has fallen during the past decade, while the proportion of Hispanic and African-American children has increased. Whereas Caucasian children were the dominant group in 1990, they are on a par with Hispanic children in 2000.

### 3. County Narrative:

a. Given the child population in your county, how does your strategic plan address their cultural, ethnic, linguistic, socio-economic, and special needs?

The child population of San Joaquin County is very diverse, with a high proportion of low-income families and a substantial number of children in rural or hard-to-serve areas. The strategic plan identifies needs areas in terms of cultural group and geographic location. The planning process was very active in soliciting input from the Latino, African American,

Cambodian, Hmong and Lao communities. The Commission addresses their needs through emphasizing cultural and linguistic competence in its application and monitoring process. The Commission is currently developing its own formal standards for cultural competence. The Commission's application and proposal review processes also include specific requirements for the empirical documentation of high needs populations and areas. The proposal review process includes a highly diverse group of reviewers familiar with the needs of the County. Finally, socioeconomic needs are addressed through our support of programs to promote family self-sufficiency. Currently 9 of our funded programs address this issue.

### b. Geographic description: Describe your county in overall size, rural, urban, etc.

San Joaquin County is located in the heart of the central valley of California. In 2000 population of 564,000, San Joaquin County is 86.0% urban and 14.0% rural. San Joaquin County is not only an agricultural county, but also a commuter county, with close links to Sacramento and the Bay Area. Consequently, from 1990 to 2000, the County's population increased by 17.2%. San Joaquin County's population is 47.4% white, 30.6% Hispanic, 11.3% Asian/Pacific Islanders. African Americans comprise 6.4% of the total while Native Americans make up .6% of the population. A total of 3.5% classify themselves as two or more races.

In terms of income, the U.S Census bureau shows in 1997 (the most recent data available) that San Joaquin County has a median household income of \$35,629, while the state has a higher median household income of \$39,595. As would be expected, San Joaquin has a poverty rate of 18.8%, while California comes in at 16.0%. San Joaquin County has a child poverty rate of 27.3%, compared to California's rate of 16.0%.

c. Major needs and/or issues: Describe the major issues identified by your commission within your county (i.e. lack of social service infrastructure, underserved populations, rural isolation, lack of agency coordination, etc.)

According to the results of our strategic planning process, the major needs within San Joaquin County are a lack of culturally and linguistically appropriate services of all kinds; significant barriers to accessing services, especially for non-English speakers; a severe shortage of child care; poor maternal and child health among African American families; low levels of parental literacy, associated with many children not being fully prepared to start school; a high proportion of low-performing schools and a fragmented system for delivering services to children and families. There is also a shortage of service providers for children and families in the rural parts of the County. The Commission also found that many service providers in the County were unfamiliar with evaluation and data management.

d. Impact of Proposition 10: Describe the work you have done directed to the identified needs and issues, and the impact that work has made.

Based on the needs identified in the strategic planning process, the SJC Children & Families Commission prioritized Children's Health, Drug, Alcohol and Tobacco Prevention and Treatment, Child Care and Parent Education. The Commission released funding through a competitive RFP process, providing technical assistance to applicants. Twenty-five direct service programs were funded by Commission allocations since 2000. In this fiscal year, the Commission focused on strengthening the capacity of these 25 programs as well as building a staff infrastructure to continue to support the success of these service providers.

Commission-funded programs touched more than 18,000 people in 2001-2002. These clients received a variety of services and interventions, from prenatal home visits to parenting classes to hypnosis for tobacco cessation.

- Nearly half (42.9%) of the clients touched in year one were <u>teens</u>. Programs providing pregnancy prevention services served most of these clients. Nearly 8,000 teens were served this year.
- The next largest category (28.0%) of clients served was <u>children aged 0-5</u>. More than 5,000 children received services this year.
- Pregnant women and expectant parents made up nearly six percent of the clients served this year. Commission-funded programs in Year One touched more than 1,000 expectant parents.
- Parents/guardians, child care providers, and other service providers made up the remainder of the total clients served (23.1% combined).

Preliminary evaluation results indicate gains in knowledge and behaviors that support healthy development of children. Some highlights include:

- Parents recovering from substance abuse show improvements in knowledge of early childhood development.
- Pregnant and Parenting Teens completed the requirements for high school graduation.
- Children participating in an intensive preschool program for teen parents started Kindergarten and were deemed "ready" by their teachers.
- Parents' improved their knowledge of water safety.
- Children, parents, and service providers showed gains in knowledge of the signs and symptoms of childhood sexual abuse, and reported appropriate responses to these signs.
- e. Using your strategic plan, what program development and funding priorities has your commission taken on? (i.e. service integration, increased access, targeting socially and/or geographically isolated communities, inclusiveness of families with developmentally challenged children, community participation in decision-making, etc.)

The Commission's program development approach has been to encourage the community to identify creative responses to the needs identified in the strategic plan. The plan described needs in four broad areas (children's health; alcohol, tobacco and drug use prevention and treatment; parent education and child care.) and a special project category. The RFP emphasizes innovative service delivery designs, inter-agency collaboration, empirical documentation of need, cultural competence and results-based accountability. Using this framework, the Commission has supported the development and delivery of needed direct service programs within the County. The Commission's current strategic planning process is building on the foundation of direct services laid in the first two funding rounds to include system integration, capacity building and the Commission's own standards for cultural competence.

### 4. Major Accomplishments:

⇒ Four rounds of funding for mini grants were provided, totaling \$411,757. This provided funding for fifty-two agencies. Thirty-two of these are child care providers, and the

balance provide services that range from promoting entry into prenatal care, assessment of child care needs among migrant workers, providing educational materials, promoting literacy, to promoting safety issues that include car seat safety and safe playground equipment.

- Twenty five programs were funded for approximately \$8 million over a three-year period in the focus areas of parent education, child care, children's health and treatment of drug, alcohol and tobacco.
- Approximately 8000 Kits for New Parents were distributed, with an extremely positive response by the parents. Parent Educators from United Way, Success by Six shared that they are really excited about the kits, and have stated that the Spanish speaking clients can't wait until their husbands get home so they can watch the videos together.
- Revision of the Strategic Plan which now refocuses the goals of the Commission and funding with a greater emphasis on "high need" populations as determined by community data.
- Competition of a service mapping project which provided the Commission with funding recommendations – out of this came a project for a feasibility study on a data warehouse for the community (still in process as of 6/30/02).
- ⇒ Implementation of an internal RFP process for school readiness projects that led the Commission to fund seven projects impacting 11 schools with a low API score.
- ⇒ Hiring of three Program Assistants and one Accountant Auditor.
- Awarding of a Technical assistance RFP for our contractors (the needs assessment started in August of 2002).
- Implementation of the web enabled, CS & O system which will allow the Commission to determine impact of programs.
- ⇒ Five programs were awarded totaling \$3.9 million over three years for Round 3 RFP in the focus areas of children's health and parent education. Grants are for an oral health project (\$1.5 million), two early mental health programs, a literacy program and a home visiting program to work alongside a school readiness program.
- ⇒ Facilitation of close contact with contractors through bimonthly contractor meetings, site visits, phone calls and E Mails. There has been a true partnership with this process.

### 5. Status of Reporting and Data Collection:

The San Joaquin County Children & Families Commission continues to work with Harder+Company Community Research to strengthen the ability of programs to collect, report, and understand evaluation data. Most programs had no experience with data collection or evaluation (with the exception of process data, or contract monitoring) when they began work for the Commission. In this year:

- Almost half (48%) of funded programs collected pre and post-intervention data in Year One.
- Nearly two-thirds (62.5%) used high quality tools consistently and appropriately.

In addition to providing one-on-one technical assistance to contractors as they developed and implemented evaluation plans, Harder+Company Community Research has begun developing a cluster evaluation design. In the next year, programs will collect and submit not only evaluation data that are relevant and useful for their own program improvement, but will collect and report data based on Commission Indicators. Contractors have chosen a set of outcomes (also called "indicators" in other counties) and will collect data to support change in those outcomes.

In this fiscal year, the Commission entered into a contract with the Corporation for Standards and Outcomes (CS&O) to launch an internet-based outcome reporting system (OCERS) for all contractors. During the fiscal year, this system was not in place. The Commission expects contractors to begin data entry in November 2002.

### **Summary of Innovative and Promising Practices**

### 1. Program Highlights:

Many funded programs documented positive results for their clients. Some highlights include:

- The Manteca Unified School District provided academic and psychosocial support for pregnant and parenting teens as well as intensive preschool opportunities for their children. All pregnant teens that remain in school spend most of their day at a single school site. Commission funds were used to provide after-school enrichment activities as well as the more comprehensive pre-school program. The intended result of the program was to increase the number of pregnant/ parenting teens that completed requirements for graduation and to prepare children for successful transitions to Kindergarten. During this year, seven young women completed their high school requirements while participating in this program. Four children entered Kindergarten and initial contact with Kindergarten teachers indicates that those children were "ready for school".
- Through the San Joaquin County Public Health Services: Comprehensive Outreach and Perinatal Education (COPE) program, over three hundred women, one hundred thirty-three of them pregnant women were referred to prenatal services through an outreach program funded by the Commission. Paraprofessionals approached pregnant women on the street, at the Laundromat, at the park, and at other public places and provided referrals to existing services for pregnant women and their families. Most people were referred to public health home visitation providers, with many referred to sources of prenatal care. Other referrals included WIC, shelter, Food Banks, Head Start and Black Infant Health (BIH).
- The Lao Khmu Association (LKA) program provided drug, alcohol and tobacco prevention education, intervention, and case management for 79 Southeast Asian families. The program features a multi-faceted approach to the prevention and intervention program and has four components: 1) prevention education/awareness raising campaign through television media and community outreach; 2) group/individual prevention education sessions; 3) family-based intervention/case management services; and 4) referral services. The program serves mostly low-income families and families living under the established federal poverty level. The prevention education and intervention is focused on families of Southeast Asian descent, a linguistically and culturally isolated community. Post-test data reveal that participants have good knowledge of the dangers of smoking and the effects of cigarette smoke on children.
- The Child Abuse Prevention Council (CAPC) Training of Mandated Reporters of Child Abuse program was designed to train mandated reporters of child abuse in San Joaquin County. The training provides information not only on how to identify abuse, but also on how children are protected from retaliation by Child Protective Services and how mandated reporters are protected from civil suit under the law. CAPC provides the training at the professional's worksite or at CAPC in the form of a workshop. Comparison of the pre and posttest scores indicated a 54% increase in mandated reporters knowledge of symptoms of child abuse and reporting requirements.

The Training Wheels program through the *Library Literacy Foundation* provides family literacy programs to underserved areas of San Joaquin County, focusing on children ages' birth to five years and their families. This program moves the family literacy program into the community by using a mobile van to provide services to rural and under-served areas of the county through regularly scheduled stops. Trained bilingual staff teach parents and caregivers why and how to read to their children ages birth to five years, model story time and family literacy activities, and distribute age-, culturally-, and linguistically- appropriate books to build home libraries. Additionally, the program staff provide information about and access to community services available to the family and appropriate for their needs. Participating parents have increased the amount of time they spend reading to their children.

### Other highlights include:

- ☑ The outcomes from the program for mental health for Spanish speaking clients showed meaningful improvements on the pre/post tests.
- ☑ The seamless systems of services for asthmatic children and their families. The Commission is funding a program for 0-5 while the California Endowment is funding a similar program for the 5-10 year old. Home visits are made with a focus on decreasing the environmental hazards to the children.
- ☑ The success of the hypnotherapy program for smoking cessation. Preliminary reports show a 66% success rate after six months of completing the class

### 2. Service Integration and Collaboration:

Service Integration and Collaboration remains at the heart of the activities of the Commission. Discussions on this topic begin at applicant's workshops when potential contractors are informed about the importance of this issue. They are informed that the Commission does not make funding decisions based on "stand alone" proposals but instead are truly committed to working with agencies who work together with other agencies to ultimately benefit the client.

During the RFP process, letters of intent are submitted, in part, for a review of potential duplication and collaborative opportunities. Meetings were established with agencies in which the Commission identified collaborative opportunities. Although the Commission does not "make" agencies submit joint applications, this time is a wonderful opportunity for agencies to clearly define their target population and learn new ways of working with other agencies, whether CFC agencies or other community agencies.

The Commission funded a service-mapping project based on the Commissions visionary pursuit of and commitment to funding programs that will have a long-term impact on the health and well-being of children and consequently future community members. This project found that the challenge lies in obtaining and maintaining the depth of data needed to reach this vision.

Clearly, funding is beginning to shift to proving not only need, but also outcomes. The ability to show success in the efforts of service delivery requires orchestrated efforts between organizations to spread fewer resources more effectively. What better way to accomplish that then to have standardized data clearly showing gaps and duplications in services? This level of data mapping is necessary to determine not only the need, but to determine the impact of programs.

Mapping, over time, will help identify trends to pinpoint successful service strategies per outcome. For example, tracking multiple programs such as literacy, mental health, and parenting to assess if high school dropout rate is decreased.

Multiple people gathering data is confusing and frustrating to community based organizations and agencies – a centrally identified data repository would increase the awareness of the need, build relationships between keepers of data and a data repository and ease the requests for data on individual organizations. This is turn, led to the creation of an RFP for a feasibility study for a data warehouse (decision support system) that is still in process. Recommendations will come out in the early part of the new fiscal year. Many government agencies and community agencies are currently involved in this project.

### **Home Visiting Project:**

Realizing that at-risk or high-needs families can be best served by providing organized, accessible, and culturally competent services, in October 2001, the Commission brought together over 30 agencies that had an established or shown interest in establishing a home visiting program. This led to the establishment of the Home Visitation Resource Committee (Committee). The Committee identified areas in the Home Visiting arena that they thought needed immediate attention (i.e. avoiding duplication of services, identifying gaps in services, developing strategies in dealing with difficult clients and/or situations).

The Committee meets once a month with a planned agenda. A standing agenda item allows for all of the Committee members to share their successes and challenges, which lends to providing support to the overall group. Each month, a participating agency provides a "spotlight" of the work that is being done by their particular agency or an outside agency is invited to present around issues raised by the group. The Committee has received mini-training around "animal safety issues", Head Start enrollment, water safety, smoking cessations etc. In addition, trainings have been provided around a Life Skills Progression Training tool, Assessment Tool and Anger Management/Conflict Resolution: Violent and Aggressive Children.

In order to establish a coordinated effort in the home visiting arena and avoid duplication of services as well as not cause disruption to the lives of the children and families requiring services, the Home Visiting Resource Committee has provided the communication protocol that was necessary for agencies to link in their attempt to provide services. For example, one of the high-needs areas that is being addressed by at least four of the Committee's participating agencies is the Farmington Apartments complex. Farmington Apartments, located in South Stockton is home to approximately 168 families; a mixture of Hmong, Hispanic and African-Americans with the dominant population being Hmong (over 75%). The results of a current survey indicate that there are approximately 130 children under age 5 living in this complex. Because of language and cultural barriers, it is necessary for services to be delivered in an organized manner as not to alienate any potential clients.

The need to coordinate services also led to the drafting of a Common Client Referral and Reply Form, which is being used by all the participating agencies. The sending agency completes the form, outlining what services are needed and the receiving agency later informs the sending agency of the services provided or any other outcome of the contact. Agencies have been using this form since June 2002 and its usage has provided for a more cohesive system of services.

In order to further enhance the efforts of the Committee, the Commission in it's application seeking participation in the State Commission's AmeriCorp Initiative has identified Home Visiting as an area that required some attention. If the application is approved, AmeriCorp members will be made available to those agencies that participate as part of the Committee. The members will assist in the enhancement and/or expansion of the agency's projects/programs. Members will be primarily utilized as interpreters as well as assist in addressing the needs of the South East Asian special needs population (area identified by Committee that needs addressing).

In addition, the Committee working in conjunction with the Commission's Safe From the Start Committee has been active in educating households in regards to reducing children's exposure to violence. The participating agencies are actively using the "Window of Opportunity" video in their parenting classes as well as any other cluster meetings.

### Safe From the Start Project:

Mirroring the State Commission's comprehensive strategy to bring leaders together to address the issues of children growing up in safe, healthy environments; focusing on those children who have been exposed to family, school and/or community violence, the Commission convened key community players to brainstorm around and map out an action plan to address this issue in San Joaquin County. In November 2001, with the assistance of a facilitator, the Commission's Safe From the Start Committee (Committee) was implemented with an overarching theme of educating the community – business, health care, law enforcement, political officials, etc. - regarding the exposure of children to violence with a mission to assure that every home in San Joaquin County is a SAFE home.

A committee exists which consist of participants from a variety of entities (i.e., Child Welfare, Probation, Mental Health, Education), that impact the lives of children and their families.. Among the major undertakings of the Committee was conducting training sessions for the public around violence using the "Window of Opportunity" video, collaborating with the Commission's Home Visitation Resource Committee to expand the pool of contacts, and developing a resource "mapping" to be used by participating agencies to assist in providing at-a-glance-referrals.

The Commission has also funded two projects that compliment the work done by the Committee. One of those projects, "Domestic Violence and Childhood Sexual Abuse Reduction" is administered by the Women's Center of San Joaquin County. The Women's Center has been serving child victims of sexual abuse since 1976 and victims of domestic violence sine 1980 and served over 14,000 persons in both categories in 2000. The project offers preventive services (education around safety to children, parents and providers), crisis intervention and peer counseling for those who are victims and/or those who witness domestic violence and their non-offending parent. The San Joaquin Children and Families Commission – Year One Evaluation Report recently issued by Harder and Company Community Research indicates that because of the work done by Women's Center, "All of the women who took the pre/post test had a greater increase in their level of knowledge of abuse, community resources and the effects of domestic violence." In addition, it was found that both parents and children served moved up the scale toward higher family functioning while attending programs through this project.

The second project, which was recently awarded funding is a collaborative effort between the San Joaquin County Office of Education and Creative Child Care, Inc. (CCC), designed to provide a "system of care" for children enrolled at CCC. The project will also receive support from local law enforcement, the county Probation Department and Valley Community Counseling. A child can come to the attention of the project two ways; law enforcement matches the names of children who are determined to be witnesses to domestic violence with those students enrolled and CCC and teachers at CCC will initiate a referral if it is thought that a student may be acting out due to exposure of if a child's behavior appears to be outside of his/her normal behavior. Following an assessment and development of a student intervention plan, the child is referred for counseling.

### Centralized Eligibility List:

In 1997, the State's Welfare Reform Plan stipulated that the California Legislature mandate each county to design a Centralized Eligibility List (CEL) for families seeking subsidized child care. This mandate was designed to address issues a large number of working parents were experiencing around gaining access to affordable childcare. To ensure consideration for spaces that might open up at a variety of possible child care providers, a parent would have to sign up on multiples waiting lists and keep his/her information current on each list. This process not only resulted in frustration for the waiting families but also a substantial duplication rate of names.

In San Joaquin County, discussion around the implementation of a CEL begun, however funding was an issue, therefore the project did not move forward. The Family Resource and Referral Center (FRRC) which has an extensive history of providing services to children, families and their providers and plays a key role in the County's child care arena sought funding from the Commission to establish the much-needed CEL. In April 2002, the Commission allocated \$107,593 to activate this effort.

This project is currently in the forming stage with an expectation to be active by November 2002. The FRRC is actively seeking the support of those agencies (through Memorandum of Understandings) that are key to the process as well as seeking buy-in as to how the project should be implemented. Workgroups have been formed to address pertinent issues that may present barriers to the project moving forward and to determine what the specific needs of the potential participating agencies

Expected outcomes of this effort include; a single point of contact for parents; easy identification of eligible families for subsidized programs; current and accurate data regarding the number of waiting children countywide; and accurate ongoing assessment of the County childcare needs.

### School Readiness Advisory Board and School Readiness Coordinating Council:

In September 2001, the Commission formed the School Readiness Advisory Board and School Readiness Coordinating Council (Council) to guide the County's School Readiness Initiative. A total of \$1.7 million per year over a total of four years (includes cash match budgeted by the Commission) was allocated toward this effort. In keeping with the standards developed by the State Commission around School Readiness, the Council (13 members) moved forward with the purpose of developing a comprehensive program to better prepare children in San Joaquin County to enter school ready to learn. Embracing the State Commission's School Readiness

Vision that California's children will be healthier and better prepared to reach their greatest potential in school and in life.", The Commission began a process that led to the bringing together of educators and the community to address the issues of assuring that children receive necessary supportive services in order for them to thrive and compete as they enter school.

On January 18, 2002, working in conjunction with a contractor, Lois Lang, the Commission solicited Letters Of Intent from 38 eligible schools in French Camp, Holt, Lincoln, Lodi, Manteca, New Hope, Stockton, and Tracy. Applications were due February 26, 2002. A total of seven schools/community-based organizations working with 11 local schools were selected to receive funding.

In May 2002, final applications for these schools were submitted to the State Commission's School Readiness Initiative. Citing areas that required further enhancements as well as areas of strengths, the State Commission returned the applications to the Commission for additional clarification before approval. After additional technical assistance, the applications were returned to the State Commission in July 2002.

# County Revenues and Expenditures for the period July 1, 2001- June 30, 2002

County Revenues

Prop 10 Cash Revenues		List dollar amounts here. ound to whole dollars
Prop 10 Allocation	+	0.077.000
Monthly disbursements	\$	8,377,380
Augmentation Funds from the State Commission:		
Administrative Augmentation Funds	\$	0
Travel Augmentation Funds	\$	0
Minimum \$200,000 augmentation funds	\$	0
Other State Prop 10 Program Funding Grant funds, state initiatives		
Child Care Retention Incentives	\$	0
Other (specify) School Readiness	\$	200,000
Revenues from Sources Other than Prop 10		
List:	\$	0
	\$	0
	\$	0
Interest Earned and Balance Brought Forward		
Interest Earned	\$	717,936
Fund Balance brought forward from prior year(s)	\$	22,551,022
Total Cash Revenue	\$	31,846,338

Annual Expenditures and Encumbrances

	Expended or Encumbered for FY 02	1-
Program Expenditures and Encumbrances (e.g.		
grants, initiatives, programs)	\$ 1,745,411	
Implementation Expenditures and Encumbrances (all		
expenditures/encumbrances other than program	\$ 877,434	
expenditures – see detail on next page)		
Total Cash Expenditures	\$ 2,622,845	

Financial Summary

	J	Tuly 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002
Total Cash Revenue	\$	31,846,338
Total Cash Expenditures	\$	2,622,845
Fund Balance	\$	29,223,493
* Fund Balance that is not encumbered, but is committed or set- aside for current or future grants, initiatives, sustainability reserve, etc.	\$	29,223,493
Funds for Future Investments and Program Sustainability	\$	0

<sup>\*</sup>Committed funds in this section are not encumbered for this fiscal year and will not show up in the fiscal audit.

### **Implementation Expenditure Detail**

In this section you have the opportunity to report details of the implementation expenditures reported in the previous section. In the third column, check if the amount reported is based on accounting or best estimate. See glossary for expanded description of expenditure categories.

		Expended or	Based on accounting
	<b>Encumbered for</b>		or best estimate:
		FY 01-02	
			Accounting
Evaluation Expenditures	\$	265,192	Best Estimate
Administrative Expenditures			
<ul> <li>Commission Operations and Support (meeting costs and</li> </ul>			
support, office operations, training and technical	φ	224.404	
assistance, development of policies and procedures, etc.)	\$	324,104	Accounting
<ul> <li>Grant-Making Operations and other Fund Allocations</li> </ul>			Best Estimate
Contract Management			
Fiscal Management     Tracking of and Compliance with Legal Requirements			
<ul> <li>Tracking of and Compliance with Legal Requirements,</li> <li>including preparing reports to the State Commission</li> </ul>			
including preparing reports to the State Commission  Planning, Communication and Outreach Expenditures			
Strategic Planning			
<ul> <li>Strategic Framing</li> <li>Community Outreach (media, websites, other</li> </ul>	\$	288,138	
community outreach, civic engagement)	·	•	Best Estimate
<ul> <li>State-level Involvement, Communication, and Planning</li> </ul>			Best Estimate
for State-Level Initiatives			
<ul> <li>Activities to Promote Collaboration and Integration of</li> </ul>			
Services and Leveraging of Resources			
Total Implementation Expenditures	\$	877,434	

**Tracked In-kind:** If your Commission receives in-kind resources and tracks them in the fiscal accounting system (and therefore, they are reflected in the fiscal audit), please describe them here, noting major sources and type of in-kind, as well as the total amount of tracked in-kind.

N/A

**Untracked In-kind:** If your Commission receives in-kind resources but does <u>not</u> track them in the fiscal accounting system (and therefore, they are not reflected in the audit), please describe them here, noting major sources and type of in-kind.

N/A

**Discussion of Additional Cash Resources:** If your Commission activities have brought additional cash resources into your community in the last fiscal year, describe your successes in this regard. How were the funds used, or for what use are they intended?

No additional cash resources occurred during 2001/02. In 2002/03, Two contractors, San Joaquin County Office of Education and San Joaquin County Public Health Department are leveraging MAA and TCM funds with Prop 10 match.

In addition, San Joaquin County Commission staff has attended trainings related to fiscal leveraging and will pursue this option to benefit all eligible contractors.

### Activity Summary Sheet Number 001 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
✓ Improved Systems	1,2,4	67,68,69 5,10, 37, 39, 66	68 (e)			12	
✓ Improved Child Health	12,13	3,10, 37, 32, 00	68 (e)				
Improved Child Development							
Improved Family Functioning							

Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.

The primary focus of this program was children. Families received home visits and education/ training on identifying asthma triggers in the home with the intention of reducing symptoms and ER visits for children with asthma. Parents and children not yet diagnosed with asthma may have benefited from the intervention, but are not included in the counts.

### Activity Summary Sheet Number 002 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA	4. PARTICIPANTS SERVED  A = ACTUAL E = ESTIMATE			5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
✓ Improved Systems	1, 4	43					
☐ Improved Child Health							
Improved Child Development		10,37,39					
✓ Improved Family Functioning	3,8,11		24 (a)	66(a)		12	

Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.

Improvement in the systems of care had indirect effects on children and families; this program primarily served children and families, thus the numbers of clients served are represented in the "family functioning" category.

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 003 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA	_	4. PARTICIPANTS SERVED  A = ACTUAL E = ESTIMATE			5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
✓ Improved Systems	1, Other	Other				171	12	
☐ Improved Child Health								
Improved Child Development								
Improved Family Functioning								

Providers received training to improve their awareness of their responsibility to report suspected cases of child abuse.

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 004 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	FOR EACH RESULT AREA			RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	2, 4	8, 10, 36, 39						
☐ Improved Child Health								
Improved Child Development								
☐ Improved Family Functioning	10, Other				2030 (a)			

Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.

The program sought to reduce the number of unwanted pregnancies by providing reminders for women who scheduled family planning visits.

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 005 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
<b>⊠</b> Improved	4				7		
Systems		$\begin{array}{c} 34, 36, 37, 39, 66, 68, \\ 70 \end{array}$					
<b>Improved</b>	9	70	79 (a)				
Child							
Health							
<b>☐</b> Improved							
Child							
Development	_						
<b>Improved</b>	7						
Family							
Functioning							
Note: Please use th	his section to explain	or qualify any of the info	rmation provide	ed above.			
_							

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 006 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

	FOR EACH RESULT AREA				RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIN	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	4	66,67,69,68,39, 2, 60						
☑ Improved Shild Health	9				593 (a)	256 (a)		
Improved Child Development								
	7							
Note: Please use this		or qualify any of the info do not fit into the categ			d above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 007 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		4. PARTICIPANTS SERVED  A = ACTUAL E = ESTIMATE			6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42					
☐ Improved Child Health	1, 2, 8, 9		68 (a)	130 (a)			
Improved Child Development							
☐ Improved Family Functioning							
	his section to explain	or qualify any of the info	rmation provide	ed above.			I

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 008 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS  Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	FOR EACH RESULT AREA			RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	34, 39, 58			,		
☐ Improved Child Health							
Improved Child Development							
	2, 6		442 (a)	218 (a)	233 (a)		
	 his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	 formation provid	ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 009 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE		5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA  Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	
⊠ Improved Systems	4	37					
⊠ Improved Child Health	4		8 (e)	11 (e)			
Improved Child Development							
☑ Improved Family Functioning	3		9 (e)	11 (e)			
Note: Please use th	his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	formation provid	led above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 010 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA			RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIN		5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.	
⊠ Improved Systems	4	24.20							
☐ Improved Child Health	9	34, 39			11 (a)				
Improved Child Development									
☐ Improved Family Functioning									
	l his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	forn	l aation provide	ed above.	<u> </u>	l		

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 011 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS  Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	FOR EACH RESULT AREA			RTICIPANTS SE ACTUAL E = ESTIN	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 3, 4	2, 10, 37, 39, 56	17 (e)	10 (e)			
☐ Improved Child Health							
<ul><li>✓ Improved</li><li>Child</li><li>Development</li></ul>	6		20 (e)	10 (e)			
	1,3, Other		20 (e)	14 (e)			
		or qualify any of the in			t		

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 012 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		ARTICIPANTS SE  ACTUAL E = ESTIN		5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthda		Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the fou result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	2, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 34, 36, 38, 39, 42,					
☑ Improved Child Health	4	43, 44, 47, 48, 50, 68					
	2, 4, 6						
	6, 8						

### Activity Summary Sheet Number 013 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
<b>⊠</b> Improved	1, 4						
Systems		8, 10, 61					
☑ Improved Child Health	13		920 (a)	134 (a)			
☐ Improved							
Child							
Development							
☐ Improved							
Family							
Functioning							

Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.

Children and parents may indirectly benefit from improvements in system effectiveness, but the direct effects are more appropriately categorized as "child health"

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 014 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of codes	ntended 3. esult(s)	Strategy(ies)					6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
projects Appe	es from co	nter one or more odes from opendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
	8,	10, 61					
<ul><li>✓ Improved Child Health</li></ul>				24 (a)			
Improved Child Development							
☐ Improved Family Functioning							
Note: Please use this sec Children and families n							

### Activity Summary Sheet Number 015 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

If your county commission is grouping projects, please enter the number of projects included in this summary sheet: \_\_\_\_

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM		5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	3, 4	30, 31, 36, 39, 57, 68					
☐ Improved Child Health							
☐ Improved Child Development	Other			24 (a)			
☐ Improved Family Functioning							

Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.

Children and families may benefit from systems improvement but are counted in child development. Other Result: Children live in home environments supportive of optimal cognitive development.

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 016 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH RESULT AREA			RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 31, 36, 37, 39, 43, 66, 68					
⊠ Improved Child Health	5, 7	27,27, 10, 00, 00		2 (e)			
Improved Child Development							
	2, 5, 6, 9			5 (e)			
		or qualify any of the info m systems improvement			and family func	tioning.	

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 017 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS  Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE ACTUAL E = ESTIN	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	11, 17, 64	810 (e)	78 (e)	77 (e)		
☐ Improved Child Health							
<ul><li>☑ Improved</li><li>Child</li><li>Development</li></ul>	6		810 (e)	78 (e)	77 (e)		
⊠ Improved Family Functioning	3		811 (e)	79 (e)	77 (e)		
Note: Please use th	his section to explain nobile literacy van	or qualify any of the in	formation provid	led above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 018 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

Please mark the result area (s) that	2.Intended Result(s)	3. Strategy(ies)					6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA  Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	
_	1, 2,3, 4				13 (a)		
Systems		0 10 11 14 15					
☐ Improved		8, 10, 11, 14, 15					
Child							
Health							
☐ Improved	1, 3, 4, 6		22 (e)				
Child							
Development							
	1, 3		<b>10</b> (e)	13 (a)			
Family							
Functioning							
Note: Please use this	is section to explain	or qualify any of the in	formation provide	ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 019 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS  Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	FOR EACH RESULT AREA				RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	4	10, 29, 56, 58						
☐ Improved Child Health								
<ul><li>✓ Improved</li><li>Child</li><li>Development</li></ul>	6			2 (e)	6 (e)			
	1, 3, 5, 11			2 (e)	7 (e)			
Note: Please use the Other: teen paren	_	or qualify any of the inj	form	ation provide	ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 020 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH RESULT AREA				RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2		Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	4	17, 35, 64						
☐ Improved Child Health								
Improved Child Development								
☑ Improved Family Functioning	10				34 (a)			
Note: Please use th		or qualify any of the inf			ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 021 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		ARTICIPANTS SE = ACTUAL E = ESTIN	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthda		Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 2, 3, 4	31, 39, 59, 66			5000 (e)		
☐ Improved							
Child							
Health							
☐ Improved Child							
Development <b>Development</b>							
	10				2545 (e)		
Functioning							
Note: Please use th	is section to explain	or qualify any of the in	formation prov	ided above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 022 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIN	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	2, 10, 11, 43, 56	4 (e)	16 (e)			
⊠ Improved Child Health	13		4 (e)	16 (e)			
☐ Improved Child Development							
<ul><li>✓ Improved</li><li>Family</li><li>Functioning</li></ul>	1. 3. 5. 6. 7		4 (e)	16 (e)			
	his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	formation provide	ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 023 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.  Improved Systems  Improved Child Health  Improved Child Development  Improved Simply to this project or group of projects  Improved Child Development  Improved Simply Functioning  Improved Child Development  Improved Simply Functioning  Improved Simply Functioning  Improved Child Development  Improved Simply Functioning  Improved Simply Funct	1. RESULT AREAS					RTICIPANTS SE CTUAL E = ESTIM	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Systems   2, 10, 11, 43, 56   22 (e)	Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from	Enter one or more codes from		children prenatal to	members of children prenatal	providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their	project(s) has/have been in operation during FY	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
Child Health  Improved Child Development  Improved Family Functioning  Child  22 (e)  23 (e)  23 (e)		4	2, 10, 11, 43, 56		22 (e)				
Child Development  ☐ Improved 3	Child	4,7			22 (e)				
Family Functioning	Child	6			22 (e)				
	Family	3			23 (e)				
Note: Please use this section to explain or qualify any of the information provided above.	Note: Please use th	his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	forn	nation provide	ed above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 024 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS FOR EACH RESULT AREA					RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	pre	tal ildren enatal to birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
Improved Systems	4	56, 61, 66, 68	15	(e)	16 (e)	1 (e)		
Improved Child Health								
Improved Child Development	1, 6		30	(e)	16 (e)	5 (e)		
Improved Family Functioning	3		17	(e)	17 (e)	5 (e)		
	his section to explain	or qualify any of the in	formatio	on provide	d above.			

# Activity Summary Sheet Number 025 July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

1. RESULT AREAS	FOR EACH	RESULT AREA		RTICIPANTS SE	5. TOTAL NUMBER OF MONTHS	6. TOTAL FUNDS SPENT IN EACH RESULT AREA	
Please mark the result area (s) that apply to this project or group of projects	2.Intended Result(s)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 1.	3. Strategy(ies)  Enter one or more codes from Appendix 2	Total children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total family members of children prenatal to 5 <sup>th</sup> birthday	Total providers that serve children prenatal to 5 or their families	Total months project(s) has/have been in operation during FY 00/01.	Total funds spent on each of the four result areas.
⊠ Improved Systems	1, 4	15, 39, 68			50 (e)		
Improved Child Health							
Improved Child Development							
<ul><li>✓ Improved</li><li>Family</li><li>Functioning</li></ul>	2, 3, 6		719 (a)	214 (a)	53 (e)		
Note: Please use th	his section to explain	or qualify any of the inj	formation provide	ed above.			

**Contractors by Number** 

Number	Contractor						
(CFC Annual							
Report only)							
001	American Lung						
002	CAPC- CHEC						
003	CAPC- Mandated Reporters						
004	Delta Health Care						
005	KLA – Health is Wealth						
006	PHS- Tobacco Free						
007	PHS – Nurse Home Visiting						
008	PHS – COPE						
009	Women's Center Round 1						
010	United Cerebral Palsy						
011	VIVO – Keeping Kids Safe						
012	Charterhouse – CAPSS						
013	CAPS – First Steps						
014	City of Stockton – Water Waves						
015	Concilio – Telacoo						
016	Easter Seals						
017	Library and Literacy – Training Wheels						
018	Lots of Tots – Quality First						
019	Manteca Unified						
020	Planned Parenthood Mar Monte						
021	SJCOE – Teen Pregnancy Prevention Coalition						
022	SJCOSA – Recovering Families						
023	United Way – Success By Six						
024	VIVO – Gateway to Growth						
025	Women's Center – Round 2						